

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/483,416	01/14/00	TING		D.	UPA-00103	
- JASON Z LIN 19597 Via Monte Drive Saratoga CA 95070		MM91/1107	[-	EXAMINER	
		1111667 6 6 6 7		NGUYEN,	. D	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871	3 de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell	
				DATE MAILED:	J .	
					11/07/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/483,416

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary Examiner

Art Unit

Dung Nguyen 2871



Ting et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period :	for Reply		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM	
af - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ication. /s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will	
- If NO co - Failur - Any	mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, t eply received by the Office later than three months after th	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) 🗌		ction is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex p}$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re objected to by the Examiner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) 🕽	〗All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:		
	1. $ ot\!$	ve been received.	
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ive been received in Application No	
	application from the International Bur		
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of t		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachm	ent(s)		
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
• •	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
17) 🔲 ln	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/483,416 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asada et al., US Patent No. 5,745,207.

The above claims are anticipated by Asada et al. figure(s) 2 and/or 4 which disclose a liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising:

- a scanning signal line (1);
- a data signal line (3);
- a common electrode (2);

Application/Control Number: 09/483,416 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

• a pixel electrode (4) having a herringbone shaped with a structure, a pitch and width as

claimed;

• a thin film transistor (7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asada et al...

US Patent No. 5,745,207.

Regarding claim 2, Asada et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except

for the common electrode having a plate shape. It would have been an obvious matter of design

choice to form an electrode having a plate shape, since such a modification would have involved

a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being

within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Dailey, 149 USPO 47 (CCPA 1976).

Regarding claims 5-6, Asada et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above

except for the based material for the common electrode and the pixel electrode. One

of ordinary skill in the art would have realized the desire to form a common electrode and/or a

pixel electrode form the group of indium tin oxide (ITO), SnO, N-type amorphous silicon film,

Δrt I I

Application/Control Number: 09/483,416 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

etc. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time It

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to form an electrode (e.g, common electrode and/or pixel electrode) by using a known

material (e.g, ITO) since it was known in the art that have a good conductivity and transparency

for such electrode in an LCD device.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The

fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN

11/01/2001

William L. Sikes

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 2871